

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,097	04/25/2006	Raymond Lillback	16-170 PCT	2318
26294 7590 (8804/2009) TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			EXAMINER	
			FOX, JOHN C	
CLEVEVLAN	CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 097 LILLBACK, RAYMOND Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit John Fox 3753 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 April 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5.7.8.11-14 and 18-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6.9.10 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/533,097

Art Unit: 3753

The restriction requirement of March 20, 2009 is modified to include claim 17 in Group II. The oversight is regretted.

Claims 1-5, 7-8, 11-14, and 18-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 20, 2009.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the searches for the different Groups overlap and there is thus no undue burden in Examining all of the Groups. This is not found persuasive because the Examination involves more than just the search and, as stated in the requirement, the Examination of additional inventions would be a serious burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

It is noted that upon the allowance of an independent claim all claims which depend therefrom will be rejoined and allowed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6, 9-10, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said intermediate port" in line 4 of paragraph i).

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The recited intermediate ports are read as the other ports in the action below.

Application/Control Number: 10/533.097

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gold, of record.

Gold shows a valve with main ports 11, 12 and other ports 13, 14 and a valve spool with base 28, disc-like member 29 with openings 32, and a diametral wall 30. In the normal position, shown in dash lines in Figure 1, a flow path will exist from the spool through openings 32 to a chamber region above 29, returning back through openings 32 to the spool and to the other port 14 through an opening on the cylindrical wall of the valve chamber. Each of the ports of Gold include a transfer or intermediate chamber.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gold in view of Kirkwood et al.

Gold teaches the claimed valve except for a seal. Kirkwood et al show a similar four port valve with a seal. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a seal in the valve of Gold to similarly seal against the chamber wall.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,097

Art Unit: 3753

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gold in view of Voith.

Gold teaches the claimed valve except for a lower bearing. Voith shows a similar valve with a lower bearing, as recited. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a bearing as taught by Voith in the valve of Gold to similarly provide for rotational stability.

Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bergman.

Bergman shows a valve with main ports 1, 2 and other ports 3,4, and a valve spool with base 17, disc-like member 16 with openings opposite valves 28, 29, a diametral wall 15 and a radial wall 14 which is read as extending from the wall 15. As with Gold, a flow path exists as recited through the openings in member 16 to an other port.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Saturday from 10am-6pm (Hoteling Program).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,097 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John Fox/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753